

CoC Planning Committee

July 18, 2019




Agenda

- ▶ HUD NOFA
 - Refining previous policy decisions
 - Funds available
 - DV Bonus
- ▶ Persons with Lived Experience – Advisory Council

Funding Available

Category	Amount Available
Annual Renew Demand	\$7,829,344
Tier 1 (94%)	\$7,360,552
Tier 2	\$ 468,792
Bonus	\$ 425,332
DV Bonus	\$ 850,645

Refining Previous Policy

- ▶ Reallocation availability identified by usage and spending
 - ▶ Current portfolio captures identified priorities
 - ▶ New projects could compete within existing categories but would not be considered if they do not maintain existing capacity for same or less funding
 - ▶ New/Bonus projects could compete to expand the capacity within the project categories
 - ▶ Projects outside of these categories/current capacity do not have the greatest priority but would be considered
- 

Proposed Policy: The CoC's data, including HMIS, Housing Inventory, regional housing data, and input from CoC members and persons with lived experience affirms the need to prioritize existing CoC HUD funded capacity. This specifically includes:

For Tier 1:

Project Type	Available Funding (not including admin)	Minimum number of beds to be funded, if applicable	Location	Additional Info
PSH	\$ 2,952,922	252	Tri-county scattered site	
PSH	\$ 591,017	65	Seminole Co	19 beds site based for chronic families, 46 scattered site
PSH	\$ 211,656	20	Osceola Co	Scattered site
PSH	\$ 1,134,670	160	Orange Co	97 site based, 63 scattered site
Youth TH	\$ 144,347	42	Tri County	Only renewal applications accepted
Youth outreach & CM	\$ 85,050		Tri County	120 households - Only renewal applications accepted
CES	\$ 431,400		Tri County	Fulfill all current CES activities region wide
HMIS	\$ 315,485		Tri County	Fulfill all current HMIS activities region wide


For Tier 1 or Tier 2

Project Type	Available Funding (not incl. admin)	Beds if applicable	Location	Additional Info
RRH	\$ 1,503,499	177	Tri-County scattered site	Families, unaccompanied youth, victims of domestic violence

Scoring Categories

Previous	Recommended
Continuity of efforts	Threshold aligning with HUD scorecard
Contribution to housing supply	Objective criteria (CES, Budget, HMIS data quality)
Geographic coverage	Project performance ties to system performance (housing units, returns to homelessness, income, exits to homelessness, etc)
Project targeting and resource prioritization	Housing First & Reducing Barriers
System participation, engagement, coordination	Vulnerability (serving those with greatest needs/barriers)
Current capacity/past performance	Effectiveness (Budget, design, etc)
Project quality, feasibility & design	Goal will be to have final scorecard more closely resemble HUD scorecard
Cost effectiveness & value	


DV Bonus

- ▶ Allows for more than one RRH or TH-RRH application (last year could only submit one in each category)
 - ▶ Allows for non-DV agencies to apply (but not likely to score as well)
 - ▶ Allows to expand an existing RRH project to serve more DV clients
- 

Decision

- ▶ Approve conceptually with additional details approved by CoC Board

Persons with Lived Experience

- ▶ Advisory Council
 - ▶ Committee to explore
 - Where to meet
 - When to meet
 - Initial agenda/topics/activities
 - Potential funding for participation
- 

July 18, 2019

CoC Planning Committee

NOTES

This is a specially called meeting to discuss

Reviewed the agenda

- HUD NoFA
- New Advisory Council

Funding Available

- This year our annual renewal demand 7.8Mn
 - o This is how much HUD is allowing us to ask for this year based on what we applied for last year
 - o This is reflective of the FMR for the application year
 - o Tier 1 – 7.3Mn
 - This tier holds a higher guarantee threshold
 - There is a greater
 - o Tier 2 – 468k
 - Lower threshold for guaranteed award
 - This tier is more competitive than Tier 1
 - Made the decision to place RRH in this tier because it is
 - o Bonus Tier –
 - The algorithm for this changes constantly

If we only receive Tier 1, the tier 2 money goes to a pool of funds that is redistributed for the bonus tier to the higher scoring applications

There is a DV Bonus this year. They are offering roughly 50Mn. T

Q: Is everything in Tier 1 PSH?

A: No. It also includes our youth projects, ces and hmis. It essentially includes all of what HUD requires

Q: What else is in Tier 2 besides rrh

A: it's only rrh. RRH actually straddles Tier 1 & 2

Q: How do we determine what RRH is in Tier 1 vs Tier 2

A: It is dependent upon the scoring of the individual applications. Also part of the strategy is, HUD is more likely to fund the project completely if straddling two Tiers

The more projects in Tier 2 then you will score lower in Tier 2

Reviewing the NoFA

Comparing the NoFA and the Sample Score card,

We will need to look at bed usage rates and consistently drawing funds down. This will be used for reallocation. The leasing project will get affected the most, potentially, because of constraints.

HUD consistently asks for a review of projects to reallocate funding. Part of policy will say that we are using HUD funds to meet our base needs

Proposed Policy -

We are using XXX to fund 252 tri-county scattered site beds

Between the two Tiers RRH has 177

This is the portfolio we need to keep funding to meet the needs

When looking at applications that will be accepted, we will consider both score and the impact upon capacity.

It would need to meet the needs of clients for the same amount of dollars

New projects may compete within existing categories but would not be considered if they don't maintain the capacity.

HUD won't be allowing new transitional housing or new supportive services. HUD will only be accepting renewals.

Q: Will we potentially still need to maintain all the support services.

A: as discussed in a previous meeting, this would be covered in the bundle. competition for the services will be done closer to when the funding

Each county will need to submit their application for shelter plus care

Internal subrecipient competitions won't be happening now.

We will not be taking a housing application if services has not been identified.

The RFA will explain this and the application workshops will reinforce this. We will try to support individuals with their applications to help ensure that

Scoring Categories

Based on scoring categories previously used, we have surmised recommendations from HUD TA feedback. Make the categories look as close as possible to the vernacular used by HUD. This will help reviewers connect that we are capturing what they are looking for easily.

They would like to see objective criteria like CES or HMIS participation, Money spent.

The emphasis in the NoFA this year : How doesn your project performance tie into the SPM. There is asn interest from HUD on how heavily this weighs on our scoring, but unsure of how important HUD would like that.

The recommendation is to group our

It is felt that the scorecard combined

These adjustments may not have a big impact upon our Tier 1, but it may increase our chance of getting the Bonus

We will be competing against cross categories for the Bonus but not for the Tier 1

The performance criteria has been major priority of this committee, we should be at a place where the

Essentially, we want this committee to see that we aren't necessarily changing everything

After we have completely reviewed the instructions we may have better clarity

DV BONUS

Last year....One joint trans housin And or one rrh

This year..... we can submit multiple applications. We cannot request more then 850k. the three agencies therefore have a choice to submit individual applications and compete, or come in together for the total allowable. This year HUD will allow non-DV to apply for this bonus, but it is clear the wo't score ads well

The bonus will allow expanding an existing rrh to serve dv

If no one submits an app, HSN will submit for an expansion

MOTION: to approve the policy concept

By Colleen McManus

2nd Dawn Haynes

Motion passed with one abstention from Helaine Blum.

Today, policy concept for the NoFA will be posted on the website. As we move forward revisions will

Persons w/ Lived Experience

- Advisory Council
- Would like a subset of this committee to look at how to convene this group

Do we know how many agencies have someone w/ lived experience on their board?

Last year, all applicants reported that they had someone with lived experience. Typically persons on boards with lived experience have stabilized their life and HUD is looking for a way to gain input from persons with more current firsthand experience.

Is this limited to PSH, or can it have persons in Transitional Housing? No.

Suggestion to add to the Customer Satisfaction Survey, willingness to participate in this council.

The following individuals have volunteered to assist with pulling this council together: Lisa, Colleen, Heather.